UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/780,893	02/19/2004	Akihiko Ito	24-012-TB	9069	
23400 7590 02/05/2007 POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC 12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE SUITE 101 RESTON, VA 20191			EXAMINER		
			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, · · · · ·			2839		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE		DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE		
. 3 MONTHS		02/05/2007	PAI	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Occurrence	10/780,893	ITO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chandrika Prasad	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	nuary 2007.					
·— · <u>—</u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,—					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10/13/04</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/780,893 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/11/07 has been entered.

2. Under the listing of claims the applicant indicates that claim 10 has been cancelled but the Claim 10 is not listed as canceled. Furthermore, Claim 11 depends on Claim 10. If claim 10 is cancelled, claim 11 should be cancelled or depend on claims other than 10. It appears that the applicant wanted to claims 10 and 11 because the materials of claims 10 and 11 have been presented in the new claims 15 and 16.

Therefore, the claims 10-11 have been assumed to be cancelled and were not examined.

Drawings

3. Figures 15-17 s should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the

Art Unit: 2839

applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The amended title is too general.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-7, 9, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ikeya (6439897).

Ikeya (Figures 1-7) shows an insert comprising a supporting portion 13b for supporting an external face of an electronic component 2 wherein the thickness of the portion 13b is less than a distance between a tip or contact portion of the external terminals 2a and a terminal face of the component 2. The supporting portion is configured as a thin plate. A plate member 13 comprising the supporting portion faces the connection terminals 15 of a sheet-shaped socket. The plate member has an opening with a rim for exposing the terminals. The plate member is attached to an insert body 12. The insert is attachable to an insert magazine of a tray of for holding an area

Art Unit: 2839

array type electronic component to be tested in a testing apparatus with an electronic component handler. The handler and steps involved in testing the component are inherent.

7. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a certified copy and translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeya (6439897).

Ikeya discloses all the features of this claim as described except the plate member being made of metal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to make the plate member of metal, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

10. Claims13, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeya (6439897).

lkeya discloses all the features these claims except a plurality of inserts and a plurality of components to be tested. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 2839

in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide a plurality of inserts and a plurality of components because this would require a mere duplication of essential parts, which involve only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Co. vs. Bemis co., 193 USPQ 8.

Conclusion

11. This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/780,893. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 attached. Saito (7156680) shows an insert and component handling apparatus. Other references clearly read on most of the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,893 Page 6

Art Unit: 2839

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed 1/11/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Insert magazine and tray are not positively claimed in claim1. It simply recites an insert attachable. The member 13b is part of an insert 13. Furthermore the rejection under 102(b) is proper because the applicant has not submitted any papers to document that it is a continuation of a PCT application.

Contact Information

14. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner January 31, 2007